MOD00655P01235US PAT/R212/US PATENT

REMARKS

Claims 6-10 are pending. Claim 8 is allowed. Accordingly, claims 6-7 and

9-10 are at issue.

In the response to arguments in the most recent Office Action, the Examiner

has indicated that Applicants' interpretation of the claim was understood, but that the

Examiner did not have the same interpretation in that the "claim does not recite the

orientation of the wavelengths in the sections, i.e., direction of propagation." Claim 6 has

been amended to indicate that the first and second wavelengths have the same direction

of propagations that are in series. This amendment clarifies the claims as suggested in

the Office Action and it is believed the claims are now in condition for allowance.

Additionally, claim 8 has been amended to correct a typographical error

by deleting the word "is", and method claim 9 has been amended to clarify that it does not

depend upon claim 6.

In view of the foregoing, entry of the amendment, reconsideration of the

rejections, and allowance of the case is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ,

**CLARK & MORTIMER** 

Jefferv

effery N. Fairchild Reg. No. 37,825

October 16, 2006

500 West Madison Street Suite 3800

Chicago, IL 60661

(312) 876-1800

5